Active Galactic Nuclei: What we would like to know

Chandra image of Cen A

Volker Beckmann
François Arago Centre, APC
Université Paris Diderot

September 9, 2015

Central Engine

- AGN are bright (10^{41} - 10^{48} erg/sec), point-like (<< 100 pc; Woltjer 1959) and persistent
- No stellar emission, nor supernova, etc.
- Accretion onto a massive black hole
- Masses (from reverberation mapping) in the range of 10^4 (NGC 4395) up to 10^{10} M_o (3C 273)
- Accretion
- Angular momentum → accretion disk → friction → heating → thermal emission



Artist's impression of an accretion disk
Credits: Owen/Blondin/NCSU

Central Engine

- alpha-disk: viscosity is some factor α times $c_s h$ (c_s = sound speed, h = scale height of disk)
- Radiative efficient: most of the energy is radiated away
- Eddington limit: $$L_{\rm Edd} = \frac{4\pi G M m_p c}{\sigma_{\rm T}} \simeq 1.3 \times 10^{38} \frac{M}{M_\odot} \,{\rm erg\,s^{-1}}$$

- apparently some black holes accrete radiatively inefficient
- Sgr A*: 2×10^6 Mo and accretion 10^{-5} Mo yr^{-1}
  → 10^{-9} L_{edd} (Baganoff et al. 2003)



Fabien Casse:

Accretion around compact sources

Kerr Black Holes

- Black holes can have spin $j = \frac{c\,J}{G\,M_{\mathrm{BH}}^2}$
- Swallowing matter with angular momentum makes a spin likely
- Non-rotating (Schwarzschild) black holes behave differently than maximal rotating (Kerr) black holes.
- Blandford-Znajek (1977) mechanism (aka as Penrose process) allows to extract rotational energy from a black hole (dragging of magnetic field lines)
- Important in the context of raising jets





Tchekhovskoy et al. 2012

Kerr Black Holes

How do we proof that a black hole rotates?



Fuerst & Wu (2004)



Fabian et al. (2008)

Kerr Black Holes?

NGC 1365 NuSTAR/XMM-Newton observations:
j = 0.97 (Risaliti et al. 2013, Nature)



Miller & Turner 2013, ApJ 773, L5:
« The Hard X-Ray Spectrum of NGC 1365: Scattered Light, Not Black Hole Spin »



5. CONCLUSIONS

AGN spectra may contain significant levels of transmitted and scattered continua from partially covering circumnuclear gas, producing the time-invariant "red wing" below the Fe K edge and modifying the spectrum above 10 keV. Such gas is already known in NGC 1365. Geometry-dependent Compton scattering must be taken into account, using three-dimensional radiative transfer calculations, when making spectral models and when accounting for the source total luminosity. The expected



Gardner & Done (2013): high spins are rare

Reynolds (2013): all measured spins j>0.5

Régis Terrier: reflected spectra / Compton+Rayleigh scattering

Philippe Laurent: AGN with Astro-H

Unification

Task: find a model with the smallest number of parameters which explains all AGN.

Peterson (1997)



Seyfert 1 spectrum of NGC 5548

Beckmann & Shrader (2012)



Seyfert 2 spectrum of HE 0201-3029



Beckmann & Shrader (2012);
graphic by Marie-Luise Menzel

Jets in AGN

- Why do some AGN have jets and some don't?
- Strong radio source: synchrotron emission (jet)
- Don't confuse radio quiet (RQ) and radio silent…
- Franceschini et al. (1998): $L_{\mathrm{r}} \propto M_{\mathrm{BH}}^{2.5}$
- Not found in all studies though
- Laor (2000): all quasars with $M_{BH} > 10^9$ Mo are RL
- All $M_{BH} < 3 \times 10^8$ Mo are RQ
- M_{BH}, L/L_{Edd}, inclination angle
- Other effects: smaller black holes accrete at higher Eddington rate
- Luminosity: core only or include jets?
- E.g. Broderick & Fender (2011): Eddington ratio not strongly correlated to RL
- Environment? Black hole spin?
- B-field (Sikora & Begelman 2013) ?
- jets do not scale simply with mass and accretion rate (Gardner & Done 2013)



Laing & Bridle (1987)



$$R^* = \left(\frac{f_{5\,\mathrm{GHz}}}{f_{2500}}\right)$$

Bridle et al. (1994)

Jets in AGN

Jets manage to stay confined for >50 kpc



Protons take more energy for acceleration, but could explain better the confinement over long distances and times (>10^6 yrs)

Jets in AGN

- Radio galaxies to study morphology of jets
- Blazars show jet physics
- Spectral energy distribution (SED) and variability give:
- Size and density
- Magnetic field
- Doppler factor
- Particle energy distribution



SED of Mrk 421 (Abdo et al. 2011)



Courtesy: Alan Marscher (Boston Univ.)

AGN environment

- There are no “naked” super-massive black holes
- AGN are at the center of galaxies
- Relation between AGN and its host?





Spiral galaxy NGC 4388 (Subaru Telescope, Suprime Cam, NAOJ)

AGN environment

MBH [Mo]



Velocity dispersion σ [km / s]



Semionov & Vansevicius 2006

Gültekin et al. 2009

AGN environment



- There is no clear correlation with the type of host galaxy
- Massive SMBH sit rather in elliptical galaxies

  (but: ellipticals are on average more massive than spirals!)
- Role of bars on the AGN activity not clear (no correlation: Cardamone et al. 2011, correlation: Alonso et al. 2012)

AGN environment

- Feedback between SMBH and host?
- Does the AGN regulate its own feeding?
- Does the AGN regulate the star burst activity in the host?
- Yes: e.g. De Young (2010), Fabian (2010)
- No: Jahnke & Maccio (2011), Jian et al. (2011), Juneau et al. (2012; but intrinsic absorption correlated with star formation rate)
- Gas is needed to feed AGN. 10% of galaxies at z~2 are AGN, but only 0.1% at z=0 (Dunlop et al. 2003)
- No correlation between AGN axis and host galaxy orientation (Keel 1980, Lawrence & Elvis 1982, Hopkins et al. 2012)



NGC 4151 (Mundell et al. 1999, 2002; Fernandez et al. 1999)

AGN & merger

- Merging of host galaxies transports matter to the core
- Hopkins et al. (2010): uncertainty leaves room for any kind of scenario
- Kocevski et al. (2012): Chandra detected AGN + control sample: major merger do not trigger AGN at z~2 (but minor merger?)
- Difference between dry and wet (= gas-rich) merger?
- eLISA will tell us what the real AGN merger rate is (but we might have to wait until 2034…)



SMBH formation

- Quasars observed back to z=7.085 (Mortlock et al. 2011)
- How to form a M> 10^8 Mo black hole within < 6×10^8 years ?
- Assume Eddington limited accretion λ=1, efficiency η =10%:
- M_0 = 10 Mo → 9×10^6 Mo after 6×10^8 years
- Either lower efficiency, or larger start mass:
- η = 0.09, M_0 = 150 Mo → M(z=7) = 6×10^8 Mo
- But: accretion at the Eddington limit for 1 billion years?
- Initial mass function unknown
- Quasi stars (low J and metallicity) with direct collapse to 1000 < M < 10^6 Mo (Begelman et al. 2008) ?
- But: galaxies do not look very different at 6 < z < 8.7 (Mc Lure et al. 2011); similar colours and SFR as at z~2
- James Webb Space Telescope to study first stars

SMBH formation

- Other solutions to get a large starting mass:
- Primordial black holes (e.g. Hawkins 2011, but see Pani & Loeb 2014)
- Merging of smaller black holes
- Problems with recoils (e.g. Campanelli et al. 2007) ?
- Starting with a black hole of M_0 ~ 1000 Mo it is "easy" to build SMBH until z~7 (e.g. simulations by Sijacki et al. 2009)
- eLISA will reveal the merging history in the Universe





Open questions I

- Kerr black holes? Model independent measurement necessary, see **presentation by Philippe**
- Accretion models: see **presentation by Fabien**
- Geometry of AGN? Patchy corona? Better models + observations (X-ray/hard X-ray) necessary
- How to raise the jet? VLBI shows details and starting point
- Related to unknowns in accretion and BH spin
- GBH most luminous when there is no jet -- but AGN are most luminous as radio loud quasars
- GBH: baryons in jet (SS 433 Margon+ 1979 , 4U 1640-47 Diaz Trigo+ 2013)
- What collimates the jet?
- Polarization mapping, higher resolution radio observations, numerical modeling
- **Dimitri: IceCube events from AGN?**



Open questions II

- SED modeling
- Synchrotron and EC / SSC / mix ?
- Better sampling needed (and not always the same blazars!)
- Flaring objects might not be representative
- Are all non-blazar gamma-ray bright AGN somehow intrinsic blazars? **Santiago: AGN in gamma-rays**

- Unification: statistics
- SDSS, Gaia, eROSITA, LSST, Euclid … : 10^7 AGN
- New data treatment techniques needed






Open questions III

- Environment
- Is there feedback or not? Does the host galaxy play a major role (other than providing mass) ? Do bars play a role?
- Merging: important for the AGN? Minor or Major merger?
- better (control) samples needed
- Dark matter in the Universe important
- Euclid, DES, PanSTARRS, PAU, LSST …
- **Paolo: AGN with XSHOOTER**



- SMBH: how to build a quasar until z~7 ?
- We do not know much about the Universe beyond z~7 until CMB
- JWST, E-ELT, theory, numerical simulations
- Absorption evolution? NuSTAR, Astro-H, ATHENA+, eROSITA
- Duty cycle of AGN?



What’s needed

- Theoretical models
- Simulations
- Observations
- Processing and data mining

- Get more students and colleagues on this exciting topic!

Beckmann & Shrader 2012,
“Active Galactic Nuclei”, Wiley-VCH,
382 pages
(see e.g. the Condorcet library)