Ultra-high energy cosmic rays: Insights from the maximum rigidity distribution and the possible role of ultra-fast outflows in active galactic nuclei

D. Ehlert, FO, M. Unger, PRD 107 (2023) 10 D. Ehlert, FO, E. Peretti, to appear in MNRAS

F. Oikonomou, APC Theory Seminar, Dec 3rd 2024





High-energy messengers of the non-thermal Universe







Ultra-high-energy cosmic ray Observatories



680 km² in Utah (2007-)



>100 scientists from USA, Japan, Korea, Russia, Belgium



3 Fluorescence Telescope sites

agen and and int be







Ultra-high-energy cosmic ray propagation

$\chi_{\text{loss}}(E_p = 10^{20} \text{ eV}) \sim 100 \text{ Mpc}$ $\chi_{\text{loss}}(E_p = 10^{19} \text{ eV}) \sim 1 \text{ Gpc}$





Observables



Arrival Directions

Composition:

Increasingly heavy with increasing energy



Arrival directions above 8 x 10¹⁸ eV

90

180

•eesa

Amplitude $7.4^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$ %, RA ~ 100°, Dec ~ -40° 50,000 UHECRs with energy $\ge 8 \times 10^{18} \text{ eV}$



Auger Coll. 2017 Science 357 6357, (update 2024 ApJ)







or e.g. Cen A [+ M82] (Harari et al 2016, Mollerach et al 2019, Mollerach & Roulet 2022)



Auger Coll, ApJL, 853, L29, 2018, Auger Coll 2022, ApJ 935 (2022) 2, 170

post-trial significance: 2.0σ 9

Arrival directions above 4 x 10¹⁹ eV









Starburst galaxies (radio flux weights)

E ≥38 EeV, Flux fraction 9^{+7}_{-4} %

3.8 o post-trial

* NGC 4945 is the most significant source (~20%)

Jetted AGN (γ-ray flux weights)

 $E \ge 38 \text{ EeV}$, Flux fraction $6 \pm 3\%$

 3.3σ post-trial

Swift-BAT AGN (X-ray flux weights)

E ≥38 EeV, Flux fraction 7^{+4}_{-3} %

 3.5σ post-trial

2MRS galaxies (IR flux weights)

E ≥38 EeV, Flux fraction 14^{+8}_{-6} %

3.2 σ post-trial

[see Allard et al A&A 686 (2024) A292 for interpretation]









Searching for the UHECR sources: Combined fit approach



 $\log_{10}(E/eV)$

Generic Source Properties:

Allard et al 2007, 8, Hooper et al 2007, Unger et al 2015, Auger Coll 2016, Kachelriess et al 2017, Muzio et al 2019, 2022, Mollerach et al 2020, Das et al 2021, Auger Coll 2022, Guido et al 2023, Trimarelli et al 2023

Specific source classes:

Jetted AGN - Eichmann et al 2017, 2022, Fang et al 2018, Kimura et al 2018, Rodrigues et al 2021 **GRBs** - Globus et al 2015, Biehl et al 2017, Zhang et al 2018, Boncioli et al 2018, 2019, Rudolf 2019, 2022, Heinze et al 2020

TDEs - Biehl et al 2017, Guepin et al 2017, Zhang et al 2019

Transrelativistic Supernovae - Zhang & Murase 2019 **Starburst galaxies** - Condorelli et al 2022

Sources generally assumed to be intrinsically identical

He Hoistribution of maximum energies:

UHECR protons: Kachelriess & Semikoz 2006 Galactic sources: Shibata et al 2010

Discrete AGN: Eichmann, Kachelriess, FO 2022







Broken exponential, e.g. Auger Combined Fit (Aab et al 2017) Super exponential in case of DSA with synchrotron losses with $dN/dR \propto \exp - R^{\lambda}$, $\lambda = 2$ e.g. Zirakasvili & Aharonian 2007

Combined fit with a population of non-identical sources

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{max}}} \propto E_{\mathrm{max}}^{-\beta_{\mathrm{pop}}}$

Toy example with power-law distributed maximum energy



D. Ehlert, FO, M. Unger, PRD 107 (2023) 10



A curious maximum rigidity distribution



A curious maximum rigidity distribution



A curious maximum rigidity distribution

Comparison with luminosity functions

$$L \gtrsim L_B \sim \frac{U_B \cdot \text{Volume}}{t} \sim B^2 R^2 \Gamma^4 c$$

$$L_{\rm min} \sim 10^{44.5} \text{ erg/s} \cdot \Gamma^2 \cdot \left(\frac{E}{100 \text{ EeV}}\right)^2$$

$$E_{\text{max}} \sim 100 \text{ EeV} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma} \cdot \left(\frac{L}{10^{45.5} \text{ erg/s}}\right)^{1/2}$$

Lovelace 1976, Waxman 1995, 2001, Blandford 2000, Lemoine & Waxman 2009, Farrar & Gruzinov 2009

Ueda et al 2014, X-ray AGN Luminosity Function 10^{-2} 10^{-4} 10^{-1} $d\phi/d(log~L_X)~[Mpc^{-3}]$ $d\phi/d(log~L_X)~[Mpc^{-3}]$ 10^{-6} 10^{-6} 10^{-8} 10^{-8} z=0.002-0.2 10^{-10} 10^{-10} z = 0.2 - 0.4 10^{-12} 10^{-12} 43 45 46 42 44 47 42 43 44 45 41 41 46 47 $\log L_{\rm X}$ (2-10 keV) [erg s⁻¹] $\log L_{\rm X}$ (2-10 keV) [erg s⁻¹] 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10^{-4} $d\phi/d(log~L_X)~[Mpc^{-3}]$ $d\phi/d(log~L_X)~[Mpc^{-3}]$ 10^{-6} 10^{-6} 10^{-8} 10^{-8} 10^{-10} 10^{-10} z = 0.6 - 0.8 $z \!=\! 0.8 \!-\! 1.0$ 10^{-12} 10^{-12} 41 43 45 46 47 41 42 46 47 42 44 43 44 45 $\log L_{\rm X}$ (2-10 keV) [erg s⁻¹] $\log L_{\rm X}$ (2-10 keV) [erg s⁻¹]

Individual source energy spectral index

X-ray absorbers in AGN

Nardini et al 2015

UHECR acceleration in UFOs?

Peretti, Lamastra, Saturni, Ahlers, Blasi, Morlino & Cristofari 2023

IR torus $L_{\rm IR} \sim 0.5 L_{\rm disk}$

 $R_{\rm IR} \sim 1 \ {\rm pc} \cdot \left(\frac{L_{\rm disk}}{10^{45} \ {\rm erg/s}} \right)^{1/2}$

UHECR nuclei in UFOs?

UHECR nuclei in UFOs?

IR torus $L_{\rm IR} \sim 0.5 L_{\rm disk}$

$$R_{\rm IR} \sim 1 \ {\rm pc} \cdot \left(\frac{L_{\rm disk}}{10^{45} \ {\rm erg/s}}\right)^{1/2}$$

 $\log(E_{\rm CR}/{\rm eV})$

D. Ehlert, FO, E. Peretti, arXiv:<u>2411.05667</u>

UHECR nuclei in UFOs?

IR torus $L_{\rm IR} \sim 0.5 L_{\rm disk}$

 $R_{\rm IR} \sim 1 \ {\rm pc} \cdot \left(\frac{L_{\rm disk}}{10^{45} \ {\rm erg/s}} \right)^{1/2}$

Application to observed UFOs

In total 87 UFOs from

Chartas et al 2009, Reeves et al 2009, 19, Riecchers et al 2009, Tombesi et al 2010, 12, 14, Gofford et al 2015 Nardini et al 2015, 18, Braito et al 2018, Fiore et al 2017, Boissay-Malaquin 2019, Smith et al 2019, Ajello et al 2021, Laurenti et al 2021

AGN population

AGN population

UFO population: Diffuse neutrino flux

Summary

Maximum rigidity distribution:

Sources with power-law distributed maximum rigidity required to be near identical

Additional variance expected from distribution of radius, magnetic field strength, photon fields...

Few sources? (In tension with arrival directions) Near-identical sources? Exotic physics?

Ultra-fast outflows:

- Can ``fill'' the Galactic/Extra-galactic transition region
- Maximum energy OK (most extreme UFOs)
- Luminosity / energy budget OK
- Observationally challenging. Starburst activity correlated with transients and AGN activity.